## Physics 221B: Solution to HW #10

## 1) The Electromagnetic Field and its Hamiltonian<sup>1</sup>

## a)

This is a standard computation which can be found in most books on quantum field theory, though perhaps in the context of the scalar Klein-Gordon field.

$$H = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d\vec{x} \, \vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2.$$

Using  $\vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$  and plugging in the mode expansion for  $\vec{A}$ , the  $\vec{E}^2$  contribution to the energy is

$$\int d\vec{x} \ \vec{E}^2 = \int d\vec{x} \ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{2\pi\hbar c^2}{L^3} \sum_{\vec{p},\vec{q},\lambda,\lambda'} (-i)^2 \sqrt{\omega_{\vec{p}}\omega_{\vec{q}}} \ (\epsilon^i_\lambda(\vec{p})a_\lambda(\vec{p})e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}/\hbar} - \epsilon^i_\lambda(\vec{p})^* a^{\dagger}_\lambda(\vec{p})e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}/\hbar}) \\ * \ (\epsilon^i_{\lambda'}(\vec{q})a_{\lambda'}(\vec{q})e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}/\hbar} - \epsilon^i_{\lambda'}(\vec{q})^* a^{\dagger}_{\lambda'}(\vec{q})e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}/\hbar}).$$

After multiplying out, rewrite

$$\int d\vec{x} \ e^{i(\vec{p} \pm \vec{q}) \cdot \vec{x}/\hbar} \to (2\pi\hbar)^3 \delta^3(\vec{p} \pm \vec{q})$$
$$\sum_{\vec{q}} \to \frac{L^3}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int d\vec{q}.$$

Then since  $\omega_{-\vec{p}} = \omega_{\vec{p}}$ , after carrying out the obvious integrals we have

$$\int d\vec{x} \ \vec{E}^2 = -\sum_{\vec{p}} 2\pi \hbar \omega_{\vec{p}} \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} (\epsilon^i_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) \epsilon^i_{\lambda'}(-\vec{p}) a_{\lambda'}(-\vec{p}) - \epsilon^i_{\lambda}(\vec{p})^* a^{\dagger}_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) \epsilon^i_{\lambda'}(\vec{p}) a_{\lambda'}(\vec{p}) - \epsilon^i_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) \epsilon^i_{\lambda'}(\vec{p})^* a^{\dagger}_{\lambda'}(\vec{p}) + \epsilon^i_{\lambda}(\vec{p})^* a^{\dagger}_{\lambda'}(\vec{p}) \epsilon^i_{\lambda'}(-\vec{p})^* a^{\dagger}_{\lambda'}(-\vec{p})).$$

Now

$$\epsilon^{i}_{\lambda}(\vec{p})\epsilon^{i}_{\lambda'}(-\vec{p}) = -\delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}$$

$$\epsilon^i_{\lambda}(\vec{p})^* \epsilon^i_{\lambda'}(\vec{p}) = \delta_{\lambda,\lambda'},$$

with analogous results for the other combinations (check simple cases). Then

$$\int d\vec{x} \, \vec{E}^2 = \sum_{\vec{p},\lambda} 2\pi \hbar \omega_{\vec{p}} \left( a_\lambda(\vec{p}) a_\lambda(-\vec{p}) + a^{\dagger}_\lambda(\vec{p}) a_\lambda(\vec{p}) + a_\lambda(\vec{p}) a^{\dagger}_\lambda(\vec{p}) + a^{\dagger}_\lambda(\vec{p}) a^{\dagger}_\lambda(-\vec{p}) \right).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>I thank Ed Boyda once more.

The terms like aa and  $a^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$  cancel with similar terms from  $\vec{B}^2$  while the other terms add. Including the  $1/8\pi$  from the definition of energy,

$$H = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d\vec{x} \, \vec{E}^2 + \vec{B}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\vec{p},\lambda} \hbar \omega_{\vec{p}} \left( a^{\dagger}_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) + a_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) a^{\dagger}_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) \right).$$

Using  $[a, a^{\dagger}] = 1$  gives the result

$$H = \sum_{\vec{p},\lambda} \hbar \omega_{\vec{p}} \left( a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{p}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{p}) + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

b)

We consider the coherent state of photons with  $\vec{p} = (0, 0, p)$  and helicity  $\lambda = +$ .

$$\begin{split} |f,t\rangle &:= e^{-f^*f/2} e^{f e^{-ic|\vec{p}|t/\hbar}a^{\dagger}_{+}(\vec{p})} |0\rangle.\\ i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |f,t\rangle &= c \, |\vec{p}| \, f e^{-ic|\vec{p}|t/\hbar}a^{\dagger}_{+}(\vec{p}) |f,t\rangle. \end{split}$$

Since  $|f,t\rangle$  is an eigenstate of the annihilation operator,  $a_{\lambda}(\vec{q})|f,t\rangle = \delta_{\lambda+}\delta_{\vec{p}\vec{q}} f e^{-ic|\vec{p}|t/\hbar}|f,t\rangle$ ,

$$H\left|f,t\right\rangle = \sum_{\vec{q},\lambda} c\left|\vec{q}\right| \, a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{q}) a_{\lambda}(\vec{q}) \left|f,t\right\rangle = c\left|\vec{p}\right| \, a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{p}) \, f e^{-ic|\vec{p}|t/\hbar} |f,t\rangle,$$

ignoring the zero point energy and using the delta functions to perform the sums. Clearly  $i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |f, t\rangle = H |f, t\rangle$ .

Again,  $|f,t\rangle$  is an eigenstate of the annihilation operator and  $\langle f,t|$  is an eigenstate of the creation operator so that

$$\begin{split} \langle f,t | a_{\lambda}(\vec{q}) | f,t \rangle &= \delta_{\lambda+} \delta_{\vec{p}\vec{q}} f e^{-ic|\vec{p}|t/\hbar}, \\ \langle f,t | a_{\lambda}^{\dagger}(\vec{q}) | f,t \rangle &= \delta_{\lambda+} \delta_{\vec{p}\vec{q}} f^* e^{ic|\vec{p}|t/\hbar}. \end{split}$$

The definition of  $\vec{A}$  gives immediately

$$\langle f,t|\vec{A}|f,t\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar c^2}{L^3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_{\vec{p}}}} (\vec{\varepsilon}_+(\vec{p})f e^{-ip\cdot x/\hbar} + \vec{\varepsilon}_+^*(\vec{p})f^* e^{ip\cdot x/\hbar}),$$

where  $p \cdot x = c |\vec{p}| t - \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}$  is the Minkowski scalar product. The coherent state expectation value reproduces a classical plane wave.

3)

a)

Work in units where  $\hbar = 1$ . It is convenient to rewrite the Hamiltonian as

$$H = -J\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 = -J\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} s_{zi}s_{zj} + \frac{1}{2}(s_{+,i}s_{-,j} + s_{-,i}s_{+,j})$$

where  $s_{\pm} = s_x \pm i s_y$ . When all spins are up along the z axis only the first term in H contributes because the other two terms will "try to raise" spins that are already up. Therefore, defining  $|0\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ ... $\rangle$ 

$$H|0\rangle = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} s_{zi}s_{zj}|0\rangle = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} \frac{1}{4}|0\rangle = -\frac{NJ}{4}|0\rangle$$

where N the number of pairs.

b)

The system is rotationally invariant, so the Hamiltonian should commute with the rotation operator. We can check this for the particular rotation  $\tilde{U} = \prod_i U(\theta) = e^{-i\theta \sum_i s_{y_i}}$ :

$$\begin{split} [s_{y_i} + s_{y_j}, \vec{s_i} \cdot \vec{s_j}] &= [s_{y_i} + s_{y_j}, s_{xi}s_{xj} + s_{y_i}s_{y_j} + s_{zi}s_{zj}] \\ &= -is_{zi}s_{xj} - is_{xi}s_{zj} + is_{xi}s_{zj} + is_{zi}s_{xj} = 0. \end{split}$$

Commuting operators have commuting exponentials, so  $H\widetilde{U} = \widetilde{U}H$ ; the Hamiltonian is invariant under the rotation. This means that the new ground state  $|0'\rangle := \widetilde{U}|0\rangle$  satisfies

$$H\widetilde{U}|0\rangle = \widetilde{U}H|0\rangle = E_0\widetilde{U}|0\rangle,$$

so the rotated state is also a ground state, an equivalent "choice" for the spontaneous symmetry breaking.

We want to check that the two ground states are orthogonal in the limit  $N \to \infty$  where N is the number of spins. Consider a given spin which in the ground state is in the state  $|\uparrow\rangle = {1 \choose 0}$ . The rotation sends this to

$$|\uparrow'\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\theta}{2} - \sin\frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\theta}{2} + \cos\frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \cos\frac{\theta}{2}|\uparrow\rangle + \sin\frac{\theta}{2}|\downarrow\rangle.$$

Taking the inner product  $\langle 0|0'\rangle$  will give a product of factors  $\langle \uparrow |\uparrow'\rangle$ , one for each spin. The factors are

$$\langle \uparrow | \uparrow' \rangle = \langle \uparrow | (\cos \frac{\theta}{2} | \uparrow \rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} | \downarrow \rangle) = \cos \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

For N spins,

$$\langle 0|0'\rangle = (\cos\frac{\theta}{2})^N.$$

For any non-zero rotation, the factor  $\cos \frac{\theta}{2}$  will be less than one. Thus as  $N \to \infty, \ (\cos \frac{\theta}{2})^N \to 0.$ 

## c)

Now we consider the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n} e^{ikna} |\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow_n\uparrow\uparrow\ldots\rangle.$$

This time when we act H on  $|\psi\rangle$  the last two terms in H may contribute. Defining  $|\psi_n\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow_n\uparrow\ldots\rangle$  we see how *H* acts

$$H|\psi_n\rangle = -J\frac{N-4}{4}|\psi_n\rangle - \frac{J}{2}(|\psi_{n-1}\rangle + |\psi_{n+1}\rangle).$$

The first term above is just the groundstate energy form part (a), but after two pairs have changed from  $s_{zi}s_{zj} = +1$  to  $s_{zi}s_{zj} = -1$ . The rest comes from the  $s_+s_-$  terms "moving" the spin thats pointing down by one site to the left or to the right. Now, for  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_n e^{inka} |\psi_n\rangle$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} H|\psi\rangle &= \\ &= -J\sum_{n} e^{inka} \frac{(N-4)}{4} |\psi_{n}\rangle - \frac{J}{2} \sum_{n} e^{i(n+1)ka} |\psi_{n}\rangle - \frac{J}{2} \sum_{n} e^{i(n-1)ka} |\psi_{n}\rangle \\ &= -J\left(\frac{N-4}{4} - \frac{1}{2} e^{ika} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-ika}\right) \sum_{n} e^{inka} |\psi_{n}\rangle = -J\left(\frac{N}{4} + 1 - \cos ka\right) |\psi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The excitation energy is obviously

$$\Delta E = J(1 - \cos ka).$$

This is a tiny excitation for  $N \gg 1$ , as we expect.